





Qtr Leads

End of 1st End of 2nd Winners

Losers

Results in Review

MAFL Funds

This weekend produced one upset but it wasn't the one Heritage Fund Investors were hoping for.

In the only game we wagered on this weekend the Cats were blissfully untroubled in moving past the Saints and earning a week off, with only early inaccuracy from the Cats prolonging any hope that the Saints might stay in the contest for a reasonable portion of it.

Including this weekend's result, Heritage Fund Investors have now lost over \$1.06 on the Cats. Put another way, they've now lost the equivalent of the entire Initial Heritage Funds.

The table at right shows the situation for all portfolios.

Investor #	Profit/Loss (%)
001	(25.03%)
002	(15.48%)
003	(25.92%)
004	(25.92%)
005	(16.18%)
006	(25.92%)
007	(25.92%)
008	(25.92%)
009	(25.92%)
012	(25.92%)
013	(25.92%)
014	(25.92%)
015	(40.84%)
016	(25.92%)

Team Ratings Update

All of this week's winners gained between $2\frac{1}{2}$ and $3\frac{1}{2}$ ratings points, which was enough for all of them to move into the top 4 positions on MARS ratings.

Adelaide and the Roos, whose seasons are now finished, are currently in 7th and 9th spots respectively on MARS rankings, the Roos' loss to Sydney being substantial enough to drive their rating below that of Port Adelaide.

As the table below shows, Adelaide's final rating of 1,009.2 is the 3rd highest rating for a team finishing 7th in the competition over the period 2000 to 2008, behind only Sydney's 1,022.8 in season 2007 and Sydney's

Team	Initial	End R22	ΔWk1	End Wk1	Season A
Geelong	1,027.4	1,065.8	+3.0	1,068.8	+38.4
Hawthorn	1,002.9	1,033.6	+3.5	1,037.1	+30.7
Sydney	1,010.7	1,013.4	+2.5	1,015.8	+2.7
Collingwood	1,004.0	1,011.1	+3.2	1,014.2	+7.0
Western Bulldogs	988.4	1,014.6	-3.5	1,011.1	+26.1
St Kilda	1,001.0	1,013.3	-3.0	1,010.3	+12.2
Adelaide	1,008.4	1,012.3	-3.2	1,009.2	+3.9
Kangaroos	1,000.7	1,000.0	-2.5	997.6	-0.7
Port Adelaide	1,007.4	998.3	-	998.3	-9.1
Fremantle	1,004.0	995.1	-	995.1	-8.9
Brisbane Lions	999.6	992.7	-	992.7	-7.0
Richmond	986.3	992.1	-	992.1	+5.8
Carlton	975.2	982.8	-	982.8	+7.5
Essendon	990.0	971.3	-	971.3	-18.7
West Coast	1,006.6	959.2	-	959.2	-47.4
Melbourne	987.2	944.7	-	944.7	-42.5

(fractionally higher) 1,009.2 in 2001. (Note though that St Kilda finished in 8th with a 1,022.0 rating in 2006)

Final Ratings Points of All Finalists: 2000-2008

	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004	
Position	Team	Rating	Team	Rating	Team	Rating	Team	Rating	Team	Rating
1st	Essendon	1,072.7	Brisbane Lions	1,049.4	Brisbane Lions	1,056.2	Brisbane Lions	1,045.8	Port Adelaide	1,047.2
2nd	Melbourne	1,020.6	Essendon	1,049.5	Collingwood	1,009.4	Collingwood	1,030.2	Brisbane Lions	1,044.0
3rd	Carlton	1,042.4	Richmond	1,003.4	Port Adelaide	1,030.9	Sydney	1,017.6	St Kilda	1,022.1
4th	Kangaroos	1,005.8	Hawthorn	1,008.4	Adelaide	1,012.4	Port Adelaide	1,031.4	Geelong	1,018.4
5th	Brisbane Lions	1,026.9	Port Adelaide	1,022.6	Essendon	1,013.1	Adelaide	1,022.1	Sydney	1,012.5
6th	Hawthorn	1,000.7	Carlton	1,037.9	Melbourne	998.7	Essendon	1,019.9	Essendon	1,007.5
7th	Geelong	991.6	Sydney	1,009.2	Kangaroos	994.9	Fremantle	996.2	Melbourne	1,000.7
8th	Western Bulldogs	1,005.9	Adelaide	998.7	West Coast	985.5	West Coast	1,006.0	West Coast	1,009.9

	2005		2006		200	7	2	2008
Position	Team	Rating	Team	Rating	Team	Rating	Team	Rating
1st	Sydney	1,028.1	West Coast	1,033.7	Geelong	1,058.3		
2nd	West Coast	1,023.0	Sydney	1,032.8	Port Adelaide	1,015.7		
3rd	St Kilda	1,035.9	Adelaide	1,038.6	Kangaroos	1,001.5		
4th	Adelaide	1,034.8	Fremantle	1,016.6	Collingwood	1,008.5		
5th	Geelong	1,017.7	Melbourne	1,009.5	West Coast	1,014.0		
6th	Port Adelaide	1,011.9	Western Bulldogs	1,002.5	Hawthorn	1,006.2		
7th	Kangaroos	994.1	Collingwood	1,007.9	Sydney	1,022.8	Adelaide	1,009.2
8th	Melbourne	988.3	St Kilda	1,022.0	Adelaide	1,017.9	Kangaroos	997.6

It's interesting to note how often the two teams rated highest by MARS have failed to make the Grand Final: Sydney in 2007; Adelaide in 2006; St Kilda and Adelaide in 2005; Port Adelaide in 2003 and 2002; Carlton in 2000. Similarly, the highest ranked MARS team has failed to win the Grand Final in 2006, 2005 and 2001.

As many sports researchers have demonstrated, a knock-out style finals series is not the way to guarantee that the best team will win. A US style best-of-5 or best-of-7 approach is far superior for achieving this aim.

Whilst we're on the topic of finals, let's take a look at how the teams finishing in various ladder positions have ultimately fared in the finals series since 2000, the first year in which we had the style of finals series that we have now and where there were 16 teams in the competition.



As you can see, no team that's finished in positions 5 through 8 has yet made it past the Preliminary Final, and only Hawthorn in 2001 and Collingwood in 2007 have even made it that far.

Further, the winning Grand Finalist has always been from amongst the top 3 teams on the final competition ladder, the team in 4th making the Grand Final in only 2 of the 8 so far completed years.

Looking just at the results in Week 1 of the finals we find a number of surprises (see the table at right):

- In the 1st v 4th matchup, the minor premiers have won only 5 times out of 9
- In the two matchups that pit the teams closest on the ladder 2nd v 3rd and 6th v 7th the team higher on the ladder has dominated, in each case winning 8 times out of 9.
- In the remaining matchup, 5th v 8th, the team finishing 8th has won 6 times out of 9.

QF1	Pos 1st 4th	Won 5 4
QF2	2nd 3rd	8 1
EF1	5th 8th	3 6
EF2	6th 7th	8 1

'til Thursday

Tony

7 September 2008